

Amendment - Ser. No. 10/697,764  
January 31, 2006  
Page 5

### REMARKS

This responds to the first Office Action on the merits. Claims 1, 2, 6-10 and 12 are pending. Claim 1 is the only remaining independent claim. Of the pending claims, claims 6, 7 and 9 have been withdrawn by the Examiner (withdrawn claims 6, 7 and 9 have been amended for proper dependency from amended claim 1).


The references cited by the Examiner have been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that amended claim 1 defines over the cited references. None of the Feldstein, Nebel, Costello or Hall documents discloses or suggests a cable support structure defined in claim 1 as comprising a polymeric member that includes a plurality of cable-receiving and retaining locations defined therein and arranged in the same pattern in which the plurality of electrical connectors of the electrical module are arranged, with each of the electrical cables is located in one of the cable-receiving locations of the cable support structure, and with the cable support structure positioned to overlie and permit viewing of the electrical module, and with each of the cable-receiving locations axially aligned with one of the connectors of the electrical module.

The Examiner has cited to Hall as disclosing use of a transparent material for a cable-supporting structure. Hall references use of a translucent or transparent circuit board, which allows light to be used to direct an assembler as to where to insert components into the circuit board. The device of Hall is unrelated to the development recited in claim 1, which secures a group of cables in a select patterns that matches the pattern of connectors on a related electrical module to maintain the proper orientation of the cables in the event the cables are disconnected from the module, and which overlies the electrical module but does not prevent operator viewing of the electrical module.

Amendment - Ser. No. 10/697,764  
January 31, 2006  
Page 6

Based upon these amendments and remarks, claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon are respectfully submitted to define over the documents cited by the Examiner. As such, it is respectfully submitted that this application now meets all statutory requirements, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Steven M. Haas (Reg. No. 37,841)  
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
(216)861-5582